

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

SAITO, Kazuo et al.

Conf.:

2711

Appl. No.:

09/899,107

Group:

1745

Filed:

July 6, 2001

Examiner: Alejandro, R.

For:

FUEL CELL SEPARATOR, PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION

THEREOF, AND POLYMER ELECTROLYTE FUEL CERECEIVED

DEC 0 8 2003

0171-0763

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

#### PETITION TO THE COMMISSIONER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 December 4, 2003

Sir:

NOW COMES the undersigned and petitions the Commissioner pursuant to the statute and the rules and particularly 37 CFR 1.181, 1.182, and 1.183 and respectfully requests the Commissioner to grant the indicated relief.

#### Relief Requested

The Commissioner is requested to allow this application.

In the alternative, the Commissioner is requested to close prosecution on the merits under the practice provided for in Ex parte Quayle and MPEP 706.07(f).

In the further alternative the Commissioner is requested to withdraw the finality of the final rejection, as improvidently issued, and issue a new non-final office action identifying those grounds of rejection not yet overcome. 12/05/2003 SDENBOBI 00000034 09899107

130.00 OP

The Commissioner is further requested to grant such other relief as seems just under the circumstances including the refund of all extension fees, all notice of appeal fees, all brief filing fees and every other fee of any kind, paid in the past or in the future, because of the apparent loss of the certified translation of a certain priority document timely filed in the PTO but apparently never matched with the official PTO file in this case.

#### Facts

On February 20, 2003 a non-final office action issued in this case. That office action relied on certain documents that would not be prior art if a certified translation of the priority document were filed.

On May 16, 2003 a document entitled "Reply Under 37 C.F.R. \$1.111" together with a certified translation of the priority document were filed. These documents were filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's mailroom as discussed below.

On June 5, 2003 a final rejection was issued stating in part "the priority document has not been found or made of record".

(Office Action mailed June 5, 2003; page 4; line 9). Approximately three (3) weeks later, on or about June 25, 2003 a document entitled "Reply After Final Rejection Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116", copy attached as Exhibit A<sup>1</sup>, was filed. Attached to

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A and every other exhibit referred to herein are part

Exhibit A was a postcard receipt, copy attached as Exhibit B, showing the earlier filing on May 16, 2003 of the "English Translation of Priority Document, Japanese No. 2000-204728". On June 26, 2003 an additional copy of this same certified translation was filed as shown by the listing as attached on page 3 of Exhibit A.

Exhibits A, B, and the certified translation were filed by sending them by facsimile with a cover sheet attached as Exhibit D. The cover sheet shows that Exhibits A, B, C, and D were sent to fax number 703-872-9311, the same fax number specified for after final communications and given on page 5, line 9 of the final rejection mailed June 5, 2003.

A transaction report, copy attached as Exhibit E indicates that 37 of the 38 pages were sent.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office received these 37 pages as shown by a document entitled "Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission" a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

The missing page was transmitted within a few days.

#### Argument

It is clear from the above facts that the PTO timely received the certified translation on a date prior to the date of issuance

of a document entitled "Letter" timely filed in this case on or about October 17, 2003. A copy of this letter and all its exhibits is attached hereto as "Attachment(1)"

of the final rejection. If the Examiner would have had the certified translation before him he would not have issued the final rejection. The certified translation was later submitted a second time but has not yet found its way into the file. It is the duty of the Commissioner to see that all properly filed documents are placed in the file so that the Examiner can consider them in acting on a case. That did not happen in this case. It is manifestly unfair to burden the applicant with both attorney fees and government fees paid for the sole purpose of preventing abandonment because the PTO can not find the certified translation of the priority document notwithstanding multiple submissions thereof.

The Commissioner should either allow this case or issue a non-final office action indication any remaining grounds of rejection. In any case all fees paid, solely to prevent abandonment because of the misplacement by the PTO of the certified translation, should be refunded.

#### Concurrent Actions

Concurrently with the filing of this petition the undersigned is filing a Notice of Appeal and extension request covering the three-month period since the improvidently issued final rejection. This is being done for the sole purpose of giving the PTO sufficient time to find the lost certified translation and take

appropriate action. A refund of all these fees is respectfully requested.

#### Fees

The fee for this petition is attached hereto. A refund of this fee is requested for the reasons above stated.

Should the Commissioner determine that any additional fee is required by virtue of the filing of this document he is respectfully authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any refund and any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

GMM/DRM/drm 0171-0763P

#### Attachments:

- (1) Document entitled "LETTER" with all its exhibits, originally filed on or about 17 October 2003 reproduced herewith at about 80% of original size to avoid confusion with the original "LETTER" if it is later found at the PTO
- (2) A petition fee in the form of Check No. 9010211 for \$130.00 in accordance with fee code 1460, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(h)

RECEIVED

DEC 0 8 2003

PATENT 0171-0763P

DEL DIA TORS OF THE PROPERTY O

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SAITO, Kazuo et al.

Conf.: 2711

Appl. No.:

09/899,107

Group:

1745

Filed:

July 6, 2001

Examiner: Alejandro

For:

FUEL CELL SEPARATOR, PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION THEREOF, AND POLYMER ELECTROLYTE FUEL CELL

#### LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 17, 2003

Sir:

The Commissioner is respectfully requested to either allow this application or indicate which statutory requirements have not yet been made.

#### FACTS

On February 20, 2003 a non-final office action issued in this case. That office action relied on certain documents that would not be prior art if a certified translation of the priority document were filed.

On May 16, 2003 a document entitled "Reply Under 37 C.F.R. \$1.111" together with a certified translation of the priority document were filed. These documents were filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's mailroom as discussed below.

On June 5, 2003 a final rejection was issued stating in part "the priority document has not been found or made of record".

ATTACHMENT (1) TO
PETITION TO COMMISSIONER

RECEIVED

DEC 0 8 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

(Office Action mailed June 5, 2003; page 4; line 9). Approximately three (3) weeks later, on or about June 25, 2003 a document entitled "Reply After Final Rejection Under 37 C.F.R. \$1.116", copy attached as Exhibit A, was filed. Attached to Exhibit A was a postcard receipt, copy attached as Exhibit B, showing the earlier filing on May 16, 2003 of the "English Translation of Priority Document, Japanese No. 2000-204728". On June 26, 2003 an additional copy of this same certified translation was filed as shown by the listing as attached on page 3 of Exhibit A.

Exhibits A, B, and the certified translation were filed by sending them by facsimile with a cover sheet attached as Exhibit D. The cover sheet shows that Exhibits A, B, C, and D were sent to fax number 703-872-9311, the same fax number specified for after final communications and given on page 5, line 9 of the final rejection mailed June 5, 2003.

A transaction report, copy attached as Exhibit E indicates that 37 of the 38 pages were sent.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office received these 37 pages as shown by a document entitled "Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission" a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

The missing page was transmitted within a few days.

#### REMARKS

The courtesies extended to David R. Murphy by Examiner Alejandro are acknowledged with appreciation. The following comments incorporate the substance of a recent conversation between David. R. Murphy and Examiner Alejandro. Examiner Alejandro informed this office that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office information retrieval system (PAIR) indicated that the above documents have not yet been entered by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. The Examiner attempted to locate the paper file but informed this office that the paper file was located in Customer Service.

The Commission is respectfully requested to transfer the file from the Customer Service division to the office of Examiner Alejandro so that the Examiner can act on this case.

#### <u>FEES</u>

It is respectfully submitted that no fee is required by virtue of this submission. Although the final rejection mailed June 5, 2003 set a three-month shortened statutory period expiring on September 5, 2003; and even though that date is passed no action of substance is being requested by the attorneys of record in this case. This Letter only requests that earlier timely filed documents be acted upon.

However should the Commissioner determined that any fee is required by virtue of the filing of this letter he is respectfully authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

#28,977

P.O. Box 747 V Falls Church, (703) 205-8000 VA 22040 0747

(Rev. 09/30/03)

**RECEIVED** 

DEC 0 8 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



MS AF REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

> PATENT 0171-0763P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

SAITO, Kazuo et al.

Conf.:

2711

Appl. No.:

09/899,107

Group:

1745

Filed:

July 6, 2001

Examiner: Alejandro, R.

For:

FUEL CELL SEPARATOR, PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION THEREOF, AND POLYMER

ELECTROLYTE FUEL CELL

REPLY AFTER FINAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

AECEIVED JAN 15 294 TC 1700

MS AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 June 25, 2003

Sir:

In reply to the Final Office Action dated June 5, 2003, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

#### REMARKS

A certified English translation of the priority document, namely Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-204728 was timely filed in this application on May 16, 2003 as shown on the

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2003
TC 1700

RECEIVED

DEC 0 8 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

attached postcard receipt.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has the necessary certified translation. A copy of the certified copy is submitted herewith via Facsimile as suggested in paragraph 3, page 4 of the last Office Action.

It is respectfully submitted that this submission overcomes any rejection under 35 U.S.C.102. It is further respectfully submitted that all pending claims are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the case to issue.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact David R. Murphy (Reg. No. 22,751) at the telephone number of the undersigned below.



If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

COPY

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., #28,977

GMM/DRM:bmp 0171-0763P P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachments: Copy of certified translation of Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-204728 Copy of postcard receipt

Certificate of Transmission
hereby Certify that this correspondence is being
estimile transmitted to the Patent and

(Rev. 04/30/03)

ademark Office:

June 26 200 3

Date

Signature

Signature

Source M. Lutt

Signature

Contract M. Lutt

The contract of person signing certificate



3

RECEIVED

DEC 0 8 2003

# RECEIVED TC 1700

Papers Filed herewith on: <u>\$\int_{0.1}   16 \ 200 \ 3\$\$ DOCKET NO: \$\int_{0.17}   1- \int_{0.76} \ 200 \ ATTY: \$\int_{0.001}   \int_{0.001} \ \int_{0.001} \</u>		
New Application with Transmittal Letter   Utility   Design   CIP   PCT   Provisional   Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(b)   CONT   DIV   Filing Under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA)   Filing Under 37 CFR 1.114(RCE)   Specification Consisting of:	DOCKET NO. 731-0763	PECEIV
Information Discl Strmt. PTO-1449(s)	↑	
COMMISSIONEH OF PALENTS AND THADEMARKS Due Date: 05 -2c - 2cc 3. Handcarry:	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT	)

#### IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

SAITO, Kazuo et al.

Conf.:

2711

Appl. No.:

09/899,107

Group:

1745

Filed:

July 6, 2001

Examiner: Alejandro, R.

For:

FUEL CELL SEPARATOR, PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION THEREOF, AND POLYMER

ELECTROLYTE FUEL CELL

#### LARGE ENTITY TRANSMITTAL FORM

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 June 25, 2003

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

The enclosed document is being transmitted via the Certificate of Mailing provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8.

igtieq The enclosed document is being transmitted via facsimile.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

AFTER MENDMENT		NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
9	-	20	ı.	0	\$ 18	\$0.00
3	-	3	=	0	\$ 84	\$0.00
FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					\$280	\$0.00
			PLAI	NTIFF'S	TOTAL	\$0.00
	9 3	9 - 3 -	9 - 20 3 - 3  FION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDEN	MENDMENT         PAID FOR           9         -         20         =           3         -         3         =   FION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CL	PAID FOR  9 - 20 = 0  3 - 3 = 0	9 - 20 = 0 \$ 18  3 - 3 = 0 \$ 84  FION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM \$280

	Petition for () month(s) 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a) time.	extension of time pursuant to . \$0.00 for the extension of
$\boxtimes$	No fee is required.	
	Check(s) in the amount of \$0.00	is(are) enclosed.
	Please charge Deposit Account \$0.00. This form is submitted i	No. 02-2448 in the amount of n triplicate.
over requ	If necessary, the Commissioner ncurrent, and future replies, to erpayment to Deposit Account No. 0 quired under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 rticularly, extension of time fees	charge payment or credit any 2-2448 for any additional fees or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17;
	Respect	fully submitted,
	Ву	STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  ON THE STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
GMM/		x 747 hurch, VA 22040-0747 05-8000
Atta	tachment(s)	(Rev. 04/30/03)
	Certificate of Transmission  Certify that this correspondence is being simile transmitted to the Patent and ademark Office:  Date  Signature  Bankana M. Find	PLAINTIFF'S

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
Phone: (703) 205-8000
Fax: (703) 205-8050
(703) 698-8590 (GiV)

## Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP



To:	Examiner Raymond Alejandro	From:	David R. Murphy
Fax:	703/872-9311	Date:	June 26, 2003
Phone:		Pages:	38 (including cover sheet)
Your Ref.:	09/899,107	Our Ref.:	0171-0763P
Re:	Certified English Translated Priority	CC:	
	Document		
Urgent	For Review Please Co	mment [	Please Reply Please Recycle
is privileged, of distribution, or prohibited. If ye	confidential, and exempt from disclosure under	applicable law ner than the int notify this firm	om it is addressed, and may contain information that v. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, rended addressee or its designated agent is strictly informediately by collect call to (703) 205-8000, and

Comments:



TRANSACTION		REPORT	.tun-26-2003	P.01 3 THU 08:25 AM
FOR: BSKB	70320	58050		
DATE START RECEIVER	TX TIME	PAGES TYPE	NOTE	M# DF
JUN-26 08:15 AM 7038729311	9′ 40″	37 SEND	OK	476
		TOTAL :	9M 40S PAGES:	37

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Phone: (703) 205-8000

Fax: (703) 205-8050
(703) 898-8590 (GIV)

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

# Fax

To:	Examiner Raymond Alejandro	From:	David R. Murphy	gun
Fax:	703/872-9311	Date:	June 26, 2003	
Phone:		Pages:	38 (including cover sh	eet)
Your Ref.:	09/899,107	Our Ref.:	0171-0763P	
Re:	Certified English Translated Priority	ÇC:		
	Document			
Urgent	☐ For Review ☐ Please C	Comment [	Please Reply	Please Recycle
				PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

TO:Auto-reply fax to 7032058050 COMPANY:

### **Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission**



TO:

Fax Sender at 7032058050

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

6/26/03 8:16:51 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] 37 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page =====>

